# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA CHARLESTON

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

#### Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19--cv-00592

ALL FUNDS IN THE BANK ACCOUNT IN THE NAME OF MOUNTAINEER PAIN RELIEF REHABILITATION, C/O MICHAEL SHRAMOWIAT (APPROXIMATELY \$144,424.89 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY),

#### Defendant.

#### **VERIFIED COMPLAINT OF FORFEITURE**

Comes now the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Michael B. Stuart, United States Attorney for the Southern District of West Virginia, and Kathleen Robeson, Assistant United States Attorney for the Southern District of West Virginia, and respectfully brings this Verified Complaint (the "Complaint") and alleges as follows in accordance with Rule G of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, and to the extent applicable 18 U.S.C. §§ 981, 983, 984, and 985, 21 U.S.C. § 881, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

1. This is a civil action <u>in rem</u> brought on behalf of the United States of America, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 983(a), to enforce the provisions of 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) for the forfeiture of all moneys, negotiable instruments, securities, or other things of value which were

- furnished or intended to be furnished in exchange for one or more controlled substances, or constitute proceeds traceable to such an exchange, or which were used or intended to be used to facilitate one or more controlled substance violations, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq.
- 2. Further in the alternative, Dr. Shramowiat used the defendant in rem to promote his specified unlawful activities, namely his illegal distribution of controlled substances in violation of Title 21. Dr. Shramowiat also engaged in money laundering transactions when promoting his illegal activities in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1).
- 3. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A), any property, real or personal, which was involved in money laundering transactions or attempted transactions in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956, and property traceable to such property is forfeitable to the United States.

#### THE DEFENDANT IN REM

- 4. The defendant in rem, captioned above, totaling \$144,424.89 (CATS No. 19-DEA-654427), is the sum of currency seized from a United Bank account held in the name of Mountaineer Pain Relief Rehabilitation, C/O Michael Shramowiat at 2650 Grand Central Avenue, Vienna, West Virginia (hereinafter, the "Defendant Account").
- 5. The Defendant Account identified in the paragraph above is presently in the custody of the United States. The Defendant Account was previously seized pursuant to a seizure warrant issued by the Southern District of West Virginia.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. Plaintiff, United States of America, brings this action <u>in rem</u> in its own right to forfeit and condemn the defendant property. This Court has jurisdiction over an action commenced

- by the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. §1355(a).
- 7. This Court has in rem jurisdiction over the defendant property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1355(b)(1)(A) since the acts and omissions giving rise to forfeiture occurred in this district.
- 8. Upon the filing of this verified complaint, Plaintiff requests that the Clerk of this Court issue an arrest warrant in rem pursuant to Supplemental Rule G(3)(b)(i), which Plaintiff will have executed upon the defendant property, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(d) and Supplemental Rule G(3)(c).
- 9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1)(A), because the acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district and, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395(b), because the property is located in this district.

#### STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 10. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), all moneys, negotiable instruments, securities, or other things of value furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance or listed chemical in violation of Title 21, Subchapter I, of the United States Code; all proceeds traceable to such an exchange; and all moneys, negotiable instruments, and securities used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of Title 21, Subchapter I, of the United States Code are forfeitable to the United States.
- 11. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of any offense constituting a "specified

- unlawful activity" (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7)), or any conspiracy to commit any such violation, is forfeitable to the United States.
- 12. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1956(c)(7)(B)(i), the definition of a specific unlawful activity includes the manufacture, importation, sale, or distribution of a controlled substance (as such term is defined for the purposes of the Controlled Substances Act).

#### BASIS FOR FORFEITURE

- 13. At all relevant times, Michael Shramowiat, M.D., was a licensed physician practicing medicine in Vienna, Wood County, West Virginia, and within the Southern District of West Virginia.
- 14. Dr. Shramowiat was registered with the Drug Enforcement Administration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 823 and, as such, was authorized to issue prescriptions for controlled substances for legitimate medical purposes in the usual course of professional medical practice and within the bounds of medical practice.
- 15. Dr. Shramowiat is the only practicing doctor at Mountaineer Pain Relief and Rehabilitation Centers, located in Vienna, Wood County, West Virginia.
- 16. From at least May 1, 2017, through and including May 30, 2019, Dr. Michael Shramowiat committed various offenses, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§841(a)(1) (unlawful distribution of controlled substances) and 843, that is, knowingly and intentionally using and causing others to use a registration number which was issued to him, in the course of the distribution of and for purposes of acquiring and obtaining controlled substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846.

- 17. The Defendant Account is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) on the grounds that it constitutes a thing of value furnished or intended to be furnished in exchange for a controlled substance in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., or is proceeds traceable to such an exchange, or was used or intended to be used to facilitate one or more controlled substance violations.
- 18. The Defendant Account is also subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981 on the grounds that it is property involved in money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1).

# NOTICE OF THE INTENTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO INVOKE THE PROVISIONS OF 18 U.S.C. § 984

19. The United States hereby gives notice of its intent to invoke the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 984 with regard to the monies seized from the Defendant Account which was more particularly identified in paragraph 4 of this Verified Complaint of Forfeiture. The effect of this provision is to relieve the government from any tracing requirement of those funds if the statutory conditions in 18 U.S.C. § 984 are met. The primary offenses giving rise to forfeiture of the defendant currency are violations of 21 U.S.C. § \$841(a)(1), 843(a)(2) and 846, which occurred between May 1, 2017 and May 30, 2019. Those offenses form the bases for the United States forfeiture claim and this civil action is being commenced within one year of those offenses.

#### **FACTS**

20. Dr. Shramowiat's records from Board of Pharmacy were reviewed for the period from May1, 2017 through May 30, 2019. During the relevant period, Dr. Shramowiat has seen

- approximately 1,600 patients and issued approximately 24,892 controlled substance prescriptions. The issued controlled substance prescriptions comprised approximately 90% of his overall prescriptions.
- 21. Approximately 19,082 of those prescriptions were for Schedule II controlled substances, a majority of which were highly addictive opioid pain medications such as fentanyl, oxycodone, hydrocodone, or similar medications. This totaled to approximately 2,324,565 dosage units of controlled substances, including 1,793,219 dosage units of just Schedule II controlled substances.
- 22. A medical expert has reviewed Dr. Shramowiat's Board of Pharmacy records for the past two years, and opined that the amounts prescribed, as described in paragraphs 17 and 18, are extremely high for physicians of any specialty, including pain management physicians.
- 23. Furthermore, Dr. Shramowiat often prescribed opioids and benzodiazepines. In 2016, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued new guidelines for the prescribing of opioids. They recommended that clinicians avoid prescribing benzodiazepines concurrently with opioids whenever possible. Both prescription opioids and benzodiazepines now carry FDA "black box" warnings on the label highlighting the dangers of using these drugs together.
- 24. West Virginia Board of Pharmacy records indicate that in these two years, Dr. Shramowiat wrote approximately 1,200 prescriptions for hydrocodone, and over 3,300 for oxycodone, over 600 for acetaminophen-codeine and approximately 600 for fentanyl.

- 25. In summary, nearly 25,000 controlled substance prescriptions and over 2.3 million dosage units were prescribed over a two-year period. This is extremely high for physicians in any specialty, including pain management.
- 26. Over 19,000 CII (opioid) prescriptions and nearly 1.8 million dosage units were prescribed during the past two years. This is extremely high for physicians in any specialty, even those practicing pain management. Again, approximately 53% of the opioid prescriptions were for Hydrocodone and 15% were for Oxycodone. Many of the patients travelled a lengthy distance to see Dr. Shramowiat. In a sample of 30 of Dr. Shramowiat's patients, over 50% had home addresses over 30 miles away from his clinic. Seven of the 30 had home addresses over 50 miles away. Three of the 30 patients reviewed had home addresses of over 100 miles away.
- 27. In October 23, 2018, a former patient of Dr. Shramowiat (hereinafter, "Patient A") advised that he/she met Dr. Shramowiat in a work-related capacity around 2015. According to Patient A, Dr. Shramowiat has historically maintained large quantities of controlled substances in his medical office and would dispense the medications without even providing a prescription bottle, in an effort to avoid the prescriptions from being logged for dispensation.
- 28. Patient A also detailed how patients traveled for more than three hours to be treated by Dr. Shramowiat.
- 29. One of Dr. Shramowiat's former patients (hereinafter, "Patient B") visited Mountaineer Pain Relief and Rehabilitation Centers from 2006 until 2015. According to Patient B,

he/she was able to receive hydrocodone prescriptions from Dr. Shramowiat without a medical exam.

#### THE DEFENDANT ACCOUNT:

- 30. The Defendant Account is a United Bank account held in the name of Mountaineer Pain Relief & Rehabilitation Centers C/O Michael Shramowiat, MD.
- 31. Bank records regarding the Defendant Account were examined for the time period of January 1, 2015 through December 11, 2018. According to the bank records, the Defendant Account appears to be the general operating account for Mountaineer Pain Relief & Rehabilitation Centers C/O Michael Shramowiat MD.
- 32. An analysis of the withdrawals from and other deposits into the Defendant Accout indicates that this account allegedly was used as his primary business account to pay payroll, taxes, business credit card purchases, insurance, utilities, and other normal business operational expenses.
- 33. Between January 1, 2015 and December 11, 2018, numerous deposits were made into this account from various sources including, but not limited to, cash deposits and deposits of checks from Mountaineer Pain Relief Rehabilitations Centers. Moreover, during the period between January 1, 2015 through December 11, 2018, there were more than \$2.2 million in cash and private pay methods that were deposited into the Defendant Account.
- 34. The only known source of income for Dr. Shramowiat is from his medical practice, therefore, at least a portion of the funds in the Defendant Account are payments received from patients at his medical office for the illegal prescriptions, unlawful distribution of controlled substances in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 843(a)(2) and 846. As such,

- those monies are forfeitable to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 984.
- 35. Dr. Shramowiat used the monies in the Defendant Account to fund the day-to-day expenses of Mountaineer Pain Relief Rehabilitation Centers, including his unlawful distribution of controlled substances in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 843, and 846. As previously stated, Dr. Shramowiat also used the funds in the Defendant Account to promote and continue his specified unlawful activities, namely the illegal distribution of controlled substances, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1). Thus, all of the funds in the Defendant Account are subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 1956(c)(7) and 18 U.S.C. § 984, as proceeds and property that is involved in money laundering.
- 36. Due to the large volume of cash intake with his medical practice as evidenced by the Patient Registers referenced in paragraph 34 of this Verified Complaint and by the statements of patients, it is more probable than not that the currency seized from the Defendant Account constitutes proceeds from the violations allegedly committed with regard to Dr. Shramowiat's medical practice, that is, violations of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841, 843 and 846, and therefore is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), and 18 U.S.C. § 984 as applicable.
- 37. Likewise, it is more probable than not that the currency seized from the Defendant Account constitutes proceeds from the controlled substance violations and which was used by Dr. Shramowiat to promote his unlawful activities, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1). The

Defendant Account therefore, is also subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981, as property involved in money laundering.

#### **CLAIMS FOR FORFEITURE**

- 38. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
- 39. As a result of the foregoing, the defendant in rem is subject to forfeiture to the United States of America pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6) as moneys or other things of value furnished or intended to be furnished by any person in exchange for a controlled substance or listed chemical in violation of Title 21, Subchapter I, of the United States Code; proceeds traceable to such an exchange; or moneys used or intended to be used to facilitate any violation of Title 21, Subchapter I, of the United States Code.
- 40. The defendant in rem is also subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981 and 982 as property involved in money laundering transactions or attempted transactions in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956.
- 41. As a result of the foregoing, the defendant properties and all property traceable thereto are subject to condemnation and to forfeiture to the United States, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 881.

#### CONCLUSION

42. By virtue of the foregoing and pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(f), all right, title and interest in the defendant properties vested in the United States at the time of the commission of the unlawful acts giving rise to forfeiture has become and is forfeitable to the United States.

WHEREFORE, the United States of America requests that the Clerk of the Court issue a warrant for the arrest and seizure of the defendant in rem pursuant to Rule G(3)(b)(i) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which the plaintiff will execute upon the defendants in rem pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(d) and Supplemental Rule G(3)(c); that notice of this action be given to all persons who reasonably appear to be potential claimants to the defendants in rem; that the defendants in rem be forfeited and condemned to the United States of America; that the plaintiff be awarded its costs and disbursements in this action; and that the Court grant such other and further relief it deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA By Counsel

MICHAEL B. STUART United States Attorney

By: s/Kathleen Robeson

Kathleen Robeson, VA Bar Number: 89526 Attorney for the United States United States Attorney's Office 300 Virginia Street, East, Room 4000 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 Telephone: (304) 345-2200

Email: Kathleen.robeson@usdoj.gov

#### **VERIFICATION**

## STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA COUNTY OF KANAWHA, TO-WIT:

I, Justin Schoeman, Special Agent with the Drug Enforcement Administration, declare under penalty of perjury as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1746, the following:

That the foregoing Complaint for Forfeiture in rem is based upon reports and information I have gathered and which have been provided to me by various law enforcement personnel, and that everything contained therein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, except where stated to be upon information and belief, in which case I believe it to be true.

Executed on August 13, 2019.

HUSTIN SCHOEMAN

Taken, subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of August, 2019.

OFFICIAL SEAL
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA
NOTARY PUBLIC
DIANA L. ROBERTS
1804 Anna Street
Charleston, WV 25302
My Commission Expires April 26, 2020

Digue L Noleez E Notary Public

My commission expires on April 26, 2020.

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				<b>DEFENDANTS</b> All Funds in the Bank Account in the name of					
United States of America				Mountaineer Pain Relief Rehabilitation, c/o Michael Shramowiat (approx. \$144,424.89 in U.S. Currency)					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
						N CASES, USE TH		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
¥ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF		and One Box fo	or Defenda PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	(Not a Party)		en of This State	1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Pri of Business In Ti		<b>□</b> 4	<b>□</b> 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	Citizen of Another State					
			Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.				
CONTRACT		RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT	ES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane	INJURY PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Oui Tam (31 USC		
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	□ 69	0 Other	28 USC 157		3729(a))		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS		☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			☐ 820 Copyr		430 Banks a		g
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability  ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal			830 Patent		☐ 450 Commerce		
152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability ☐ Student Loans ☐ 340 Marine		Injury Product			☐ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application		☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability			☐ 840 Trademark		Corrupt Organizations		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff)		☐ 480 Consum ☐ 485 Telepho		ner
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)		Protection Act		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage		0 Labor/Management Relations	☐ 863 DIWC ☐ 864 SSID	/DIWW (405(g))	(1) 490 Cable/Sat TV  850 Securities/Commodities/		dition/
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	☐ 385 Property Damage	O 74	0 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (4		Exchange		unities
	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability					☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	IS 0 79	Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERA	L TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricult ☐ 893 Environ		atters
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		1 Employee Retirement		(U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 895 Freedom	of Inform	nation
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act	or Defendant)  ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party		Act  896 Arbitration		
240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609		899 Administrative Procedure		ocedure
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General		DAMODITION				Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	□ 46	IMMIGRATION  2 Naturalization Application			Agency  ☐ 950 Constitu	Decision tionality of	f
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Othe		5 Other Immigration			State Sta		
	Other  448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition		Actions					
	Ly 440 Education	560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only	Commencia							
X 1 Original □ 2 Ren		Remanded from Appellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	ened Anothe	r District	☐ 6 Multidistri	-	Multidis Litigatio	n -
	I 18 U.S.C. 881(a)	tute under which you are	e filing (L	(specify) Oo not cite jurisdictional state		Transfer ersity):		Direct Fil	le
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	use:	shed in	exchange for contro	olled substa	inces			
VII. REQUESTED IN			DI	CMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:				nt:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.			JU	RY DEMAND:	☐ Yes	ĭNo	
VIII. RELATED CASE									
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER			
DATE 8 13 19		SIGNATURE OF ATT	1 CRNEY O	de por	)				
RECEIPT # AM	10UNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE		